



## **Embracing Communicative Language Teaching: Fostering Fluency, Accuracy, and Authentic Communication**

### ***Embracing Communicative Language Teaching: Fostering Fluency, Accuracy, and Authentic Communication***


<sup>1</sup> Urgilés Armendáriz Ingrid Alina

 0009-0000-1058-0713

<sup>2</sup> Vargas Ramirez Pablo Lenin

 0000-0002-3800-6358

<sup>3</sup> Magallanes Alburqueque Christian Rafel

 0009-0008-6183-0653

<sup>1</sup> Universidad Estatal de Milagro, Ecuador

[iurgilesa@unemi.edu.ec](mailto:iurgilesa@unemi.edu.ec)

<sup>2</sup> Universidad de Guayaquil, Ecuador

[pablo.vargasram@ug.edu.ec](mailto:pablo.vargasram@ug.edu.ec)

<sup>3</sup> Universidad Estatal de Milagro, Ecuador

[cmagallanesa@unemi.edu.ec](mailto:cmagallanesa@unemi.edu.ec)

**Recepción:** 22 de noviembre de 2024

**Aceptación:** 23 de noviembre de 2024

**Publicación:** 05 de diciembre de 2024

**Citación/como citar este artículo:** Urgilés, I., Vargas, P. & Magallanes, C. (2024).

Embracing Communicative Language Teaching: Fostering Fluency, Accuracy, and Authentic Communication. Ideas y Voces, 4(3), Pág. 117-130.



## Abstract

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) has become one of the most significant pedagogical approaches in language education, prioritizing fluency, accuracy, and authentic communication over traditional grammar-focused instruction. This study examines the impact of CLT in language classrooms through a mixed-methods approach involving pre-and post-tests, classroom observations, and interviews with students. The primary objective was to evaluate whether CLT enhances students' communicative competence and reduces language anxiety. The findings reveal substantial improvements in students' ability to communicate effectively and accurately, highlighting the efficacy of this approach in fostering meaningful language use. This research underscores the importance of adopting learner-centered strategies and offers practical insights for educators seeking to implement CLT in their practice.

**Keywords:** Communicative Language Teaching, Fluency, Accuracy, Authentic Communication

## Resumen

La Enseñanza Comunicativa del Lenguaje (CLT) se ha convertido en uno de los enfoques pedagógicos más importantes en la educación de idiomas, priorizando la fluidez, la precisión y la comunicación auténtica sobre la instrucción tradicional centrada en la gramática. Este estudio examina el impacto de CLT en las aulas de idiomas a través de un enfoque de métodos mixtos que incluye pruebas iniciales y finales, observaciones en el aula y entrevistas con estudiantes. El objetivo principal fue evaluar si CLT mejora la competencia comunicativa de los estudiantes y reduce la ansiedad lingüística. Los hallazgos revelan mejoras significativas en la capacidad de los estudiantes para comunicarse de manera efectiva y precisa, destacando la eficacia de este enfoque en la promoción del uso significativo del lenguaje. Esta investigación subraya la importancia de adoptar estrategias centradas en el estudiante y ofrece ideas prácticas para educadores que deseen implementar CLT en su práctica.

**Palabras clave:** Enseñanza Comunicativa del Lenguaje, Fluidez, Precisión, Comunicación Auténtica.

## Introduction

Language learning has historically been dominated by traditional methods that prioritize grammar and rote memorization. However, the limitations of these approaches in developing communicative competence have led to a paradigm shift towards Communicative Language Teaching (CLT). CLT emphasizes the functional use of language, integrating fluency and accuracy with authentic communication to prepare learners for real-world interactions. This approach stresses the importance of meaningful communication in the classroom, where learners are not passive recipients but active participants. As Laksanasut (2020) suggested, the success of communication in the classroom is a joint responsibility and acknowledgment among the participants. Furthermore, learners are expected to take greater responsibility for their own learning, actively contributing to communicative activities. This collaborative approach fosters a dynamic environment that encourages student engagement, which is essential for developing communicative competence.

The present study seeks to explore the effectiveness of CLT in enhancing students' communicative competence. Specifically, it aims to understand how CLT fosters fluency, promotes accuracy, and reduces language anxiety. The article is structured into key sections, including a review of the literature, methodology, evaluation instruments, results, and conclusions.

Language education has evolved significantly over the years, transitioning from traditional, teacher-centered approaches to more dynamic and learner-centered methods. Historically, grammar-translation and audio-lingual methods dominated the field, emphasizing memorization and mechanical repetition (Richards & Rodgers, 2014). While these methods effectively built foundational knowledge, they often failed to prepare

learners for real-world communication, leading to a disconnect between classroom instruction and practical language use (Littlewood, 2019).

Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) emerged in the 1970s as a response to these limitations, driven by the need to prioritize communication and meaningful interaction in language learning (Ellis, 2016). CLT focuses on using language for authentic purposes, blending fluency and accuracy to equip learners with the skills needed to interact confidently in diverse settings (Harmer, 2015). Core principles of CLT include task-based activities, authentic materials, and opportunities for negotiation of meaning, all of which aim to replicate real-life communication scenarios (Savignon, 2002).

The adoption of CLT has been linked to several pedagogical benefits, including improved learner motivation, reduced language anxiety, and enhanced communicative competence (Brown, 2007). However, its implementation is not without challenges. Research highlights barriers such as limited teacher training, insufficient resources, and cultural resistance in certain educational contexts (Canale & Swain, 1980; Nunan, 1991). The diverse application of CLT across countries highlights the need for a deeper understanding of how this methodology works in practice (Salam & Luksfinanto, 2024). Despite these obstacles, CLT continues to gain traction worldwide, particularly in settings where communicative competence is a primary goal of language education (Richards, 2006).

The current research explores the effectiveness of CLT in fostering fluency, accuracy, and authentic communication among intermediate-level language learners. By employing a mixed-methods approach, this research aims to address the following questions:

To what extent does CLT enhance learners' fluency and accuracy?

How do students perceive the effectiveness of CLT in promoting authentic communication?

## **Literature Review**

The origins of CLT date back to the 1970s, emerging as a response to the inadequacies of grammar-translation and audio-lingual methods. Key principles of CLT include its focus on learner-centered instruction, integration of all language skills, and the use of authentic materials. Scholars such as Richards and Rodgers (2014) highlight its potential to create meaningful learning experiences.

Recent studies have demonstrated the positive effects of CLT on learner motivation and competence. However, gaps remain regarding its implementation in diverse cultural and linguistic contexts, as noted by Littlewood (2019). This research builds on these findings, aiming to provide further evidence of CLT's effectiveness in language classrooms.

The development of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) as a dominant language pedagogy marked a significant shift from structuralist to functionalist approaches in language education. CLT draws from theories of communicative competence, first introduced by Hymes (1972), which emphasize the ability to use language effectively in real-world contexts rather than merely mastering grammatical structures. Hymes argued that language learning must encompass sociolinguistic, pragmatic, and strategic competencies alongside linguistic knowledge, setting the foundation for CLT as a holistic approach to teaching (Savignon, 2002).

CLT is characterized by its emphasis on authentic communication, which is facilitated through task-based activities and student-centered instruction. Ellis (2003) underscores the importance of tasks in CLT, describing them as goal-oriented activities that mimic

real-life interactions. These tasks provide learners with opportunities to engage in meaningful negotiation of meaning, fostering both fluency and accuracy. For instance, pair work, role-plays, and information-gap activities are frequently used to encourage interaction and simulate practical scenarios.

An essential aspect of CLT is its integration of fluency and accuracy. While fluency focuses on the natural flow of language, accuracy ensures grammatical correctness. According to Richards (2006), CLT successfully balances these elements by encouraging students to take risks in communication while receiving corrective feedback when necessary. This balance is critical, as learners often prioritize one over the other in traditional classrooms.

Despite its advantages, the implementation of CLT is not without challenges. Littlewood (2019) identifies several obstacles, such as insufficient teacher training and a lack of resources, particularly in developing countries. Additionally, cultural attitudes toward teaching and learning can hinder the adoption of CLT in contexts where traditional, teacher-centered methods are deeply entrenched (Butler, 2011). However, research consistently shows that when CLT is effectively implemented, it enhances learners' motivation and prepares them for authentic language use.

Moreover, CLT's adaptability makes it suitable for various contexts and learner profiles. Studies by Nunan (1991) demonstrate how task-based learning within CLT can be tailored to specific learner needs, making it a versatile approach for diverse linguistic environments. As language education continues to evolve, CLT remains at the forefront, offering a framework that aligns with the communicative demands of globalization. The strong version of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) advances the claim that language is acquired through communication rather than explicit instruction. Aligned

with this perspective, Dynamic Usage-Based (DUB) principles emphasize meaningful exposure, repetition, and the integration of gestures and language chunks, enabling learners to develop proficiency without the need for explicit grammar instruction (Rousse-Malpat et al., 2022). This approach highlights the potential of authentic, communicative practices to stimulate the natural development of language systems.

## **Methodology**

This investigation employed a mixed-methods research design to explore the effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in fostering fluency, accuracy, and authentic communication among intermediate-level English learners. A mixed-methods approach combines the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative research, allowing for a nuanced and multifaceted exploration of the research problem. By integrating numerical data with descriptive and interpretive insights, this design provides a robust framework to evaluate both the measurable outcomes and the subjective experiences of participants.

The quantitative component of the study aimed to assess specific changes in learners' communicative competence through standardized pre-and post-tests. These tests were designed to evaluate two key dimensions: fluency (measured by speaking speed, coherence, and lexical variety) and accuracy (evaluated through grammatical correctness and error rates). Statistical analysis of these results provided an objective measure of the extent to which CLT contributed to improvements in these areas.

On the other hand, the qualitative aspect of the research sought to capture deeper insights into how CLT activities were perceived and experienced by both students and instructors. Through classroom observations, researchers documented real-time interactions,

including student engagement levels, collaborative behaviors, and the practical application of language skills during communicative tasks. Questionnaires and semi-structured interviews further enriched the dataset by collecting firsthand accounts of participants' experiences, challenges, and perceptions of the effectiveness of CLT.

This dual-method approach was particularly well-suited for this study, as it allowed for triangulation—a process of cross-validating findings from multiple data sources. For example, the quantitative results provided concrete evidence of improvement in fluency and accuracy, while qualitative data offered context and explanations for these changes, such as increased confidence, reduced anxiety, and higher levels of participation. Additionally, the use of mixed methods made it possible to identify discrepancies or unexpected outcomes, such as variations in individual student progress, which could be explored further through qualitative means.

By leveraging the strengths of both quantitative and qualitative research, this study not only provided a comprehensive analysis of CLT's impact but also offered actionable insights for educators seeking to adopt or refine communicative teaching practices. The mixed-methods design ensured that both the "what" (measurable outcomes) and the "why" (underlying mechanisms and perceptions) were addressed, creating a balanced and thorough examination of CLT's role in enhancing communicative competence.

## **Participants**

The study involved 60 students enrolled in an intermediate-level English language program at a public university. The participants ranged in age from 18 to 25 years, with varying levels of prior exposure to communicative language learning methods. They were selected using a purposive sampling method to ensure homogeneity in their linguistic



proficiency as determined by a standardized placement test (Cambridge English Assessment, B1 level). Additionally, five language instructors with experience in CLT were included to assist in delivering the intervention and provide observations.

## **Instruments**

Multiple instruments were employed to collect data for the study:

**Pre-and Post-Tests:** Standardized tests designed to measure learners' fluency, accuracy, and overall communicative competence. These tests included a speaking component scored on fluency (speed and coherence) and a writing component assessed for grammatical accuracy.

**Classroom Observations:** A structured observation protocol was used to evaluate the interaction patterns, student engagement, and use of language during CLT activities. Observations were conducted during eight randomly selected sessions.

**Questionnaires:** A student questionnaire with both closed-ended and open-ended questions was administered to gather perceptions of the CLT approach and its impact on their confidence and language learning experience.

**Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a subset of 10 students and the five participating instructors to gain deeper qualitative insights.

## **Procedure**

The research was carried out over a 12-week period, during which CLT was integrated into all participating classrooms. The following steps were carried out:

**Baseline Testing:** Participants completed pre-tests to establish their baseline levels of fluency and accuracy. This phase also included the administration of the initial student questionnaire to assess their prior experiences and attitudes toward CLT.

**Intervention:** Language lessons were redesigned to incorporate CLT activities such as:

- **Role-plays:** Students simulated real-world conversations (e.g., ordering at a restaurant, job interviews).
- **Information-Gap Tasks:** Pairs or groups worked together to exchange information and solve tasks collaboratively.
- **Group Discussions:** Students debated or discussed topics relevant to their personal interests and cultural context.
- **Task-Based Activities:** Activities focused on specific communicative goals, such as planning a trip or creating a group presentation.

**Monitoring and Feedback:** Teachers provided continuous feedback on both fluency and accuracy during lessons. Peer feedback was also encouraged to foster collaborative learning.

**Post-Testing:** At the end of the 12-week intervention, participants completed post-tests and questionnaires. Interviews were also conducted to capture reflections on their learning experiences.

### **Data Analysis**

- **Quantitative Data:** Pre-and post-test scores were analyzed using paired sample t-tests to evaluate the significance of changes in fluency and accuracy. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize questionnaire responses.

- **Qualitative Data:** Classroom observation notes, open-ended questionnaire responses, and interview transcripts were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns and themes.

## Ethical Considerations

The investigation complied with established ethical standards. Participants were thoroughly briefed on the study's objectives and provided their written consent before taking part. Anonymity and confidentiality were maintained throughout, and participation was voluntary. The study protocol was approved by the university's ethics committee.

## Results

### Quantitative Data

**Table 1** The following table presents the pre-and post-test results:

Metric	Pre-Test Mean Score	Post-Test Mean Score	Improvement (%)
Fluency (Speaking)	62%	85%	23%
Accuracy (Grammar)	58%	80%	22%

### Qualitative Insights

Students reported greater confidence in speaking and described CLT activities as engaging and relevant to real-life communication. Observations revealed increased participation and peer interaction during lessons.

## Conclusions

The findings of this study confirm the effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in fostering students' fluency, accuracy, and confidence in communication. By prioritizing authentic and meaningful activities, the CLT approach has proven to be a powerful tool to overcome the limitations of traditional grammar-focused methods. Participants not only improved their linguistic skills but also experienced a reduction in language anxiety, reinforcing the importance of a student-centered approach.

However, the successful implementation of CLT depends on factors such as teacher training, access to adequate resources, and cultural adaptation of the method to diverse educational contexts. This highlights the need for ongoing efforts to address these challenges and ensure that the benefits of CLT are accessible across a variety of settings.

Future research could focus on exploring the impact of CLT in hybrid and virtual learning environments, as well as its adaptability to younger educational levels. It would also be valuable to examine strategies that integrate accuracy-oriented activities without compromising fluency and authentic communication. Altogether, this study not only reaffirms the potential of CLT as a pedagogical approach but also paves the way for innovations that expand their reach and effectiveness.

## References

- Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching*. Pearson Education.
- Butler, Y. G. (2011). The implementation of communicative and task-based language teaching in the Asia-Pacific region. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31(1), 36–57.
- Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
- Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching*. Oxford University Press.
- Ellis, R. (2016). *Understanding Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford University Press.
- Harmer, J. (2015). *The Practice of English Language Teaching*. Pearson Education.
- Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics* (pp. 269–293). Penguin Books.
- Laksanasut, S. (2020). Bilingual Education in Thailand: Background, Implementation, Limitation, and Case Study Saharat Laksanasut. 17. 54-59.
- Littlewood, W. (2019). *Communicative Language Teaching: An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Nunan, D. (1991). Communicative Tasks and the Language Curriculum. *TESOL Quarterly*, 25(2), 279–295.
- Richards, J. C. (2006). *Communicative language teaching today*. Cambridge University Press.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rousse-Malpat, A., Steinkrauss, R., Wieling, M., & Verspoor, M. (2022). Communicative language teaching: Structure-Based or Dynamic Usage-Based? *Journal Of The European Second Language Association*, 6(1), 20-33.

- Salam, Y. M., & Luksfinanto, Y. (2024). A Comprehensive Review of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in Modern Classrooms. *Lingeduca: Journal of Language and Education Studies*, 3(1), 58-70. <https://doi.org/10.70177/lingeduca.v3i1.1338>  
(PDF) A Comprehensive Review of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in Modern Classrooms.
- Savignon, S. J. (2002). *Interpreting Communicative Language Teaching: Contexts and Concerns in Teacher Education*. Yale University Press.